

Clear Law Institute

Litigation Jujutsu: The Art of Combating Dirty Lawyer Tactics

Presented by: Jan Berlage

Clear Law Institute | 4601 N. Fairfax Dr., Ste 1200 | Arlington | VA | 22203

www.clearlawinstitute.com

Questions? Please call us at 703-372-0550 or email us at info@clearlawinstitute.com

All-Access Membership Program

Join thousands of professionals who access our hundreds of courses each year

• Earn continuing education credit (CLE, CPE, SHRM, HRCI, etc.) in all states at no additional cost

- Access courses on a computer, tablet, or smartphone
- Access more than 75 live webinars each month
- Access more than 750 on-demand courses

Register within 7 days after the webinar using promo code "7member" to receive a \$200 discount off the \$799 base price.

Learn more and register here: http://clearlawinstitute.com/member

Litigation Jujutsu: The Art of Combating Dirty Lawyer Tactics

Jan I. Berlage | Gohn Hankey & Berlage LLP

1. Who is the Rambo Litigator?

• A litigator that has one or more of the following traits:

- A mindset that litigation is war and that describes trial Practice in military terms;
- A conviction that it is invariably in your interest to make life miserable for your opponent;
- A disdain for common courtesy and civility, assuming that they ill befit the true warrior;
- A wondrous facility for manipulating the facts and engaging in revisionist history;
- A hair-trigger willingness to fire off unnecessary motions and to use discovery for intimidation rather than fact-finding;
- An urge to put the trial lawyer on center stage rather than the client or his [or her] cause.

1. Who is the Rambo Litigator? (cont'd)

- Not returning your calls, but claiming by letter or email that you do not respond promptly to him or her;
- Sending letters or emails that demand immediate action (which is never reciprocated);
- Noticing depositions without contacting you in advance to pick a mutually convenient date;
- Threatening motions, sanctions, and screaming "frivolous" at the drop of a hat;
- Telling you one thing in person and then reneging later the person you cannot trust on a handshake;

1. Who is the Rambo Litigator? (cont'd)

- Slinging insults/personal attacks;
- Making inappropriate and/or speaking objections at depositions;
- Conducting excessive discovery for the purpose of harassment;
- Arguing (orally and in briefs) outside of the record making up facts to help his/her case;
- Blatantly misrepresenting the law;
- Interrupting during your argument;
- Exhibiting the general "weasel" factor, like faxing discovery requests over at 4:55 PM on a Friday.

2. Civility: The Hallmark of Professionalism

• Why do you do the right thing?

- Doing the right thing and self-interest are not mutually exclusive; they should go hand in hand.
- In short, if you act like a jerk, clients and potential clients will not like you. Neither will judges and juries.

• People who are in control act politely.

- It is therefore *strategic* to act civil.
- It projects the appearance of strength and control.

3. Documenting Bad Behavior and Dirty Tactics

- Jefferson on ethics: "Whenever you are to do a thing, though it can never be known but to yourself, ask yourself how you would act where all the world is looking at you, and act accordingly."
- Create a paper trail of the improper tactics of a Rambo Litigator, but do not overexaggerate.
- Do not needlessly engage in letter writing campaigns; do not let the "little digs" bother you.

4. The Art of the Counter-Punch/Counter-Attack

• Jiu jitsu

- Jiu means "gentle, supple, flexible, pliable, or yielding"
- Jitsu means "art" or "technique."
- *Jiu jitsu:* manipulating the opponent's force against himself rather than confronting it with one's own force.
- In litigation: allow your opponent to take an unreasonable position and document his doing so.
- Step 1: Exchange letters with the Rambo Litigator to establish a paper trail of the unreasonable position.
- Step 2: File a motion and attach the letters as exhibits.

5. Depositions

- Rambo litigators can do a great deal of damage during depositions using speaking objections.
- Three dangers:
 - 1. Speaking objections are used to throw the questioner off of his or her rhythm.
 - 2. Filibustering can be used to run out the clock on the questioner's allotted time.
 - 3. Such objections can be used to educate the witness before the witness answers the question.

5. Depositions (cont'd)

Solutions

- First, ignore the objection and ask the witness to answer.
- If the problem persists, go off the record and remind opposing counsel that speaking objections are not allowed.
- If there is still an issue, stay on the record and allow the court report to make a record of the speaking objection.
- Do not get greedy if you go on the record. Pick the most egregious examples of speaking objections so that when you file a motion for sanctions, it is as strong as possible.

5. Depositions (cont'd)

- The Rambo litigator may instruct the witness not to answer
 - Ask for the grounds for such an instruction.
 - Unless it is based on established privilege (such as attorney-client privilege), the question is permissible.
- Insist that the witness answer the question.
- If all else fails, ask the court reporter to mark the question in anticipation of a motion to compel.

5. Depositions (cont'd)

- The Rambo litigator may instruct the witness not to answer
 - Ask for the grounds for such an instruction.
 - Unless it is based on established privilege (such as attorney-client privilege), the question is permissible.
- Insist that the witness answer the question.
- If all else fails, ask the court reporter to mark the question in anticipation of a motion to compel.

6. Hired-Gun Experts

- Find out everything about the opposing side's experts that you can and about the subject matters they will be testifying on.
- Once you do that, you will be in a good position to use the standard tools for discrediting experts

6. Hired-Gun Experts (cont'd)

• Technique 1: Challenging Expert Credentials

- Expert qualifications involve two things: education and classroom training, on the one hand; and actual hands-on experience relevant to the case, on the other.
- A common cross-examination technique is to show that the opposing expert lacks the highest degree or certification in the field at-issue.
- If the expert has impressive academic credentials, but lacks your expert's hands-on experience, cross-examination can often highlight this point as well.
- Another technique is to highlight the expert's unrelated area of interest, then point out that it doesn't apply to the case at hand.

6. Hired-Gun Experts (cont'd)

• Technique 2: Exposing Bias

- The most common (and obvious) bias arises when the expert testifies predominately, if not exclusively, for just plaintiffs or defendants.
- This information is discoverable under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2).
- Another way to demonstrate bias is to use the expert's previous publications against him or her.

6. Hired-Gun Experts (cont'd)

• Technique 3: Cast Doubts on Character or Truthfulness

• Experts, like any other witness, can be cross-examined on inconsistencies in their testimony.

• Technique 4: Call Out Assumptions

- Expert testimony often requires the expert to make assumptions.
- These are weak points to be exploited.
- Ask the expert witness if changing an assumption would change his or her opinion.

6. Hired-Gun Experts (cont'd)

• Technique 5: Questioning the Limitations of Evidence or Methodologies

- An expert's methodology must be established as proper before the expert can testify to it.
- Methodologies can obviously be discredited for being improper.
- More importantly, however, methodologies can be discredited for having *inherent limitations*.
 - For example: pointing out that to get the right result using his or her methodology, the expert basically had to assume away all the problems.

6. Hired-Gun Experts (cont'd)

• Technique 6: Revealing Inconsistencies or Contrary Positions

- Properly research the expert to discover such inconsistencies.
- The information can be gleaned through discovery and internet searches.
- You can also use your own expert.
- Your deposition of the opposing side's expert is a further opportunity to gain knowledge about his or her theories and how s/he operates.
- Above all, be familiar with the opposing expert's report. Use your own expert to clarify any questions you have.

7. Other Practical Tips for Using *Jiu Jitsu* Against Rambo Litigators

- 1. Know Your Case
- 2. Stay Focused and Remain Calm
- 3. Do Not Use Rambo Tactics
- 4. Pick Your Battles
- 5. Know Your Judge

7. Other Practical Tips for Using *Jiu Jitsu* Against Rambo Litigators

- 6. Maintain a Rambo Litigation File
- 7. Involve the Court and Disciplinary Committee
- 8. Be Honest and Don't Exaggerate
- 9. Educate Your Client
- 10. Win Battles by Knowing the Procedural and Ethical Rules